

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9096 of 2021

=====

Kunj Vihari Singh S/o Late Shiv Nandan Singh R/o Village and P.O.- Nawada Ben, P.S. Udvantnagar, District Bhojpur. Presently discharged from the service of Constable (GD) SSB, Malbazar, District Jalpaiguri (West Bengal).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Ministry of Home Affairs, (Sashastra Seema Bal), New Delhi.
2. The Director General of Police, SSB, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.
3. The Asstt. Director (TRG) FHQ, Delhi.
4. Inspector General of Police, SSB, New Delhi.
5. The Dy. Inspector General (Pers) FHQ, SSB, New Delhi.
6. The Commandant (Pers) FHQ, SSB, New Delhi.
7. The Commandant UIN, 46th Bn, SSB, Malbazar, West Bengal.
8. The Deputy Inspector General, SHQ, SSB Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.
9. The Commandant, 46 Battalion SSB Malbazar, (West Bengal).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ram Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh (ASG)
		Mr. Ravindra Kumar Sharma (CGC)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-02-2022

The matter has been heard *via* video conferencing due to circumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19 circumstances.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief/reliefs:

*“(I) To quash the order dated
22.06.2019 passed by the
Commandant UIN 13040002 46th Bn.*



SSB, Malbazar (W.B.) (Respondent No.-9) as contained in Annexure-9 by which the petitioner has been discharged from the service. And for a direction to reinstate the service of petitioner on the post of constable in SSB, 46 Battalion.

(II) To quash the order dated 19.11.2019 issued vide memo no.- 7061-64 passed by the Deputy Inspector General, SHO, SSB, Jalpaiguri, W.B. (Respondent No.-8) as contained in Annexure-11 by which the service appeal filed by this petitioner u/s 39 of SSB, Rule 2009 has been rejected.

(III) To pass such other order/orders as may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the instant case.”

From perusal of the pleadings, it is evident that this Court has no territorial jurisdiction so as to examine the



petitioner’s grievance.

Therefore, the present petition stands disposed of
reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach territorial
jurisdiction Court.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

Ankit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.02.2022
Transmission Date	NA

