

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23004 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-61 Year-2007 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

ANANDI RAI S/o Rageshwar Rai R/o village- Duasar, P.O.- Suiya, P.S.-  
Belhar, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Paltu Rai S/o Late Puran Rai R/o village- Tarakura, P.O. and P.S.- Jhajha,  
District- Jamui

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      23-03-2022                      Heard leaned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of dowry death due to non-fulfilment of dowry demand.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioner was not apprehended at the spot. He further submits that the cognizance has been taken under Section 306 of the IPC.



Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

From perusal of the entire facts and circumstances as also the case diary which is available on record, it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and is legally wedded wife and all responsibility is fixed from the petitioner in respect of the deceased and deceased was died at the house of the petitioner and also the fact that the processes of 82 and 83 of the Cr.P.C. has already been taken against the petitioner, as such, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

**(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)**

Brajesh Kumar/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

