

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22844 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1264 Year-2015 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Nawada

=====

BIPUL SINGH @ BIPUL KUMAR SINGH @ RAJ KUMAR Son of Rana  
Vijay Kumar Singh Resident of Village - Tulsigardh, P.S.- Chandi, Distt.-  
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nirmala Kumari W/o Bipul Singh Resident of Village - Dhakania, P.O.-  
Tulsigardh, P.S.- Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda, At Present D/o Sri Devaki Singh,  
Resident of Village - Jafara, P.O.- Chotki Amma, P.S.- Akbarpur, Distt.-  
Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                          |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.   |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP  |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Sheo Kumar Pd., Adv. |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      28-01-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
with Complaint Case No. 1264 of 2015 registered under Section  
498 (A) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of Dowry  
Prohibition Act.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is  
that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the  
present case due to dirty village politics. There is general and  
omnibus allegation against him. Petitioner has no criminal

antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer of the petitioner by contending that petitioner is the husband of the informant (O.P.-2). There is direct allegation of demand of dowry, torture and assault to the informant against the petitioner. Hence, petitioner does not deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner is rejected.

**(Arvind Srivastava, J)**

utkarsh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|