

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3574 of 2022

=====

Rajesh Baitha Son of Lakshman Baitha, Resident of ward No. 13, village Govind Pitaunhiya, Mahesha Farrukhpur P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Land and Revenue Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Sitamarhi.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The Circle Officer, Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
5. Laxmi Devi Wife of Binda Baitha, Resident of village Govind Pataunjhia, P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
6. Basant Paswan, Son of Late Ram Sakal Paswan, Village - Mehsaul, P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
7. Ramsant Paswan Son of Late Ram Sakal Paswan, Village - Mehsaul, P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
8. Shivsant Paswan Son of Late Ram Sakal Paswan, Village - Mehsaul, P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
9. Jaykant Paswan, Son of Late Ram Sakal Paswan, Village - Mehsaul, P.O. Runnisaidpur, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Kumar, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (AAG12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 11-03-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(a) For a direction to the respondents to ensure appropriate corrective action in the matter of irregularities committed by the Government official in the name of privileged persons, by which they allowed the private parties to capture the Government Land.

(B) For the direction to the respondent No. 2 to ensure the proper distribution of public land to eligible person in the District Sitamarhi.

(C) For a direction to the respondent no. 2 to immediately remove the illegal occupation of the private from the public Land.

(D) For the direction to set aside the order dated 20/01/2020 passed by the respondent no. 2 in homestead revision case No. 59/2018 whereby and whereunder order has been passed that the land related to Khata No. 266, Keshra No. 948, Area 17D in the village Govind Pitaughia belongs to Laxmi Devi and she has possession over it with a further direction to correct the entry in the homestead allotment register to the effect that name of Laxmi Devi be recorded in place of Ram Sakal Paswan.”

After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the appropriate/competent forum for redressal of his grievances as permissible in law.

This application is dismissed as withdrawn reserving liberty to the writ petitioner to take recourse to such remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA