

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3456 of 2022

=====

Gyaneshwar Gautam Son of Prof. Sobhakant Choudhary, Resident of Belbana, Motihari, near Nehru Yuva Kendra, Motihari, District East Champaran, President, Human Right Violation Control Cell, Bihar, President, Indian Media Journalist Union, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar .
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uma Shankar, Advocate

Mr. Prem Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar, AC to AG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

2 11-03-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That the instant application is directed for passing necessary order, orders or directions as nature of the case may require commanding the respondent authorities to implement the verdict of this Hon'ble Court in time as also commanding them not to squeeze/linger the implementation of the order, passed by this Hon'ble Court unnecessarily so as to secure the ends of justice, by way of issuing writ of mandamus as catena of instances are available with the petitioner which goes to demonstrate the deliberate and lethargic attitude/inaction of the concern respondent authorities, affecting the interest of the parties/sufferers adversely.



After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not to be in favour with the submissions made across the Bar, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to take recourse to such equally, efficacious alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Permission granted.

We clarify that we have not adjudicated the dispute on merits. All questions of fact and law are left open to be agitated and adjudicated before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

As and when such proceeding is initiated, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/Ashwini

U			
---	--	--	--

