

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8993 of 2021

=====

Amlesh Mahto @ Amalesh Mahato Son of Sekha Mahto resident of
Juravanpur barai, P.s.- Juravanpur, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal secretary, Department of Home (Police), Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali , District- Vaishali at Hajipur
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali , District- Vaishali at Hajipur
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali , District- Vaishali at Hajipur
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, District- Vaishali at Hajipur
6. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, District- Vaishali at Hajipur
7. The Station House Officer, Police Station- Juravanpur, District- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Md. Nadim Seraj, GP 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-02-2022

The matter is heard *via* video conferencing due to
circumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. State counsel accepts notice for respondents.

3. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the
following relief/reliefs:

“That this is an application for issuance of
appropriate writ/order in nature of Mandamus directing
the respondents, especially Respondent No. 2, for
consideration of petitioner’s application as well as
recommendation made by the other respondent
authorities for appointment of the petitioner on vacant
post of Chaukidar in Beat No. - 1, Village Juravanpur



Barari under Juravanpur Police Station, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.

Further prayer is to direct the respondents while considering the candidature of the petitioner take into consideration of his 15 years' services rendered in concerned police station.”

4. Despite application dated 22.02.2017 *vide* Annexure 2 to this petition and thereafter correspondence with the official respondents, the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed, therefore, the concerned authority is hereby directed to pass a speaking order in respect of petitioner's claim within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order, failing which, petitioner is entitled to litigation cost and it is quantified @ sum of Rs. 10,000/-. Cost shall be paid by the authorized officer from his pocket and not from the Government.

5. Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed off.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	22.02.2022
Transmission Date	

