

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12250 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-435 Year-2021 Thana- GAYA KOTWALI District- Gaya

=====

MAYANK KUMAR @ MAYANK S/o Arun Kumar Resident of Piparpanti
New Area, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Kotwali P.S. Case No. 435 of 2021 lodged under Sections 379
and 356 of Indian Penal Code.

As per the allegation made in the F.I.R. two persons
snatched mobile of the informant. On the basis of number of
motorcycle, informant got information that it is the present
petitioner who involved in this occurrence but the family of the
informant completely denied thereafter the present F.I.R. has
been lodged.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no
T.I.P. has taken place in this case nor any such snatched mobile
recovered from the petitioner. He fairly submits that there are in

total 7 criminal cases pending against the petitioner but lodged by the police intentionally. It has also been submitted that the petitioner was not arrested on the spot. Petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2021 by virtue of making remand from another case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also informed that charge has already been framed in this case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

In the present facts and circumstances of this case that petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2021, let the petitioner above named, be granted bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 435 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is directed to file an affidavit before the Trial Court that he shall not involve in any criminal activity in future. If the petitioner found involved, the prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond. It has also been directed that petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed in this case by the Trial Court, failure of non appearance for two

consecutive dates, shall resulted into cancellation of his bail
bond.

Accordingly, the bail application stands allowed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--