

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11823 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2021 Thana- VAISHALI District- Vaishali

PINKU SAHANI S/o Ram Sevak Mahato R/o village- Chakmaruf, P.S.-
Belsar O.P., District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No.2, Advocate
	:	Mrs. Kanchan Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER**

- 2 01-09-2022 A supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the petition, is kept on record, stating therein that in the title portion of the petition, name of the father of the petitioner has wrongly been typed as ‘Ram Sevak Mahato’ in place of “***Ramsevak Sahni***” & on the same page the words ‘Hon’ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karaul’ has been typed in place of “***Hon’ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol***”, in paragraph no.1 of the petition, it has wrongly been typed as ‘That this is an application of regular bail on behalf of the petitioners in connection with Sadar P.S. Vaishali 353/2021’ instead of the words “***That this is an application of anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner in connection with Vaishali (Belsar O.P.) P.S. Case No.353/2021***” and in the prayer portion of the main application, the words ‘in connection with



Vaishali P.S.Case 353/2021 to the satisfaction of learned district and sessions judge-1st session' has been typed in place of ***“in connection with Vaishali (Belsar P.S.Case No.353/2021 to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Vaishali at Hajipur”***.

Accordingly, permission is granted to make necessary correction at the proper places.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 376, 511, 354, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of assault and outraging the modesty of the informant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that, as alleged an scuffle took place between the parties and during that course petitioner assaulted but there was no intention of the petitioner to outrage the modesty of the informant and to commit any wrong with the daughter of the informant. Petitioner has clean antecedent.

Learned APP appearing for the State opposed the anticipatory bail.



Considering the aforesaid submissions, let the petitioner above named in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Vaishali (Belsar O.P.) P.S. Case No. 353 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

