

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12373 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2021 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

-
1. PRAMESHVAR CHAUHAN, S/o Atvari Chauhan R/o village- Gangati, Post- Risse, P.S.- Pakribarawan, District- Nawada
 2. Laljeet Chauhan, S/o Bharat Chauhan R/o village- Gangati, Post- Risse, P.S.- Pakribarawan, District- Nawada
 3. Vikas Kumar, S/o Bharat Chauhan R/o village- Gangati, Post- Risse, P.S.- Pakribarawan, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with

Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 308 of 2021, dated

30.09.2021, registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 323, 324, 325, 307,



379 and Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation, the petitioners along with their associates assaulted the informant's husband by *lathi, danda, rod etc.*, due to which he died.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion. The informant is not the eye witness to the occurrence. He further submits that the statement of the informant is not reliable in view of the fact that the deceased was in such an injured condition that he was not in a position to state anything. He has further stated that petitioner no. 2 and 3, namely, Laljeet Chahuan and Vikas Kumar are just 19 and 20 years of age, respectively and even petitioner no. 1, namely, Prameshvar Chauhan is also aged about 48 years and they have no criminal antecedents.

The petitioners are in custody since 01.10.2021.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that the petitioners have never moved before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.



It has further been stated that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

However, the learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail, saying that the alleged offence is serious in nature and there is direct allegation of assault against the petitioners.

However, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also considering the age of the petitioners as well as nature of report given by the wife, received from a deceased in such injured condition, the petitioners, above-named, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 308 of 2021 dated 30.09.2021 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioners will undertake that



investigation/trial will not hamper on account of their absence or non-cooperation. They must be available to the police or the court whenever their presence is required.

(iii) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have any criminal antecedents, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioners.

The application stands allowed accordingly.

The learned counsel for the petitioners is directed



to remove all the defects pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ashishkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

