

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3408 of 2022

=====

Ravi Nandan Kumar Son of Baleshwar Mistri, Resident of Village-Pachrukhi,
P.S.-Pachrukhi, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secreretary, Department of Health,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The State Health Society through its Executive Director, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Executive Director, State Health Society, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Nawada Cum Chairman District Health Society,
Bihar, Patna.
6. The Civil Surgeon Cum Chief Medical Officer, Nawada Cum Secretary,
District Health Society Nawada.
7. The Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Akbarpur.
8. The Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Govindpur, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ramadhar Singh (GP25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-04-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“That this is an application for issuance of

a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s) for commanding and directing the respondent authority to pay admitted dues of the petitioner for the work done under the direction of the respondent no. 6 as contained in letter no. 122 dated 20.02.2021 with interest and cost of litigation and/or for issuance of such order(s)/direction(s) to the respondent authorities for which he may be found legally entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated hereinafter;”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner will be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are left open to be agitated before the competent authority.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the

liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	