

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21391 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2020 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

1. RAM ISHWAR GUPTA Son of Late Singheshwar Gupta Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
2. Pappu Gupta @ Pappu Kumar Gupta Son of Late Singheshwar Gupta Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
3. Bulbul Kumar @ Bulbul Gupta Son of Ram Ishwar Gupta Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
4. Shubham Kumar @ Shubham Gupta Son of Ram Ishwar Gupta Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
5. Birendra Gupta @ Birendra Kumar Gupta Son of Maheshwar Sah Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
6. Anil Kumar @ Anil Kumar Gupta Son of Maheshwar Sah Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.
7. Maheshwar Sah Son of Mahanth Sah Resident of Village- Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2 @ Sursand Nagar Panchayat Ward No. -2, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Khare, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-01-2022

Heard both the parties through video conferencing.

The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Sursand P.S. Case No.88 of 2020 instituted for the offences under Sections 341, 323, 324, 379, 354, 379 and



34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The application against the petitioner no.1 and 2 does not press as there is specific allegation against them. So far as other s are concerned, there is no specific allegation or overt act as alleged against the petitioner no.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Therefore, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, While anticipatory bail application of the petitioner no.1 and 2 are dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

