

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11469 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2021 Thana- ADHAOURA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

RAJNATH YADAV Son of Jokhu Yadav Resident of Village- Dighar, P.S.-
Adhoura, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-06-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s) be removed within two weeks of the
complete start of the physical Court in normal course.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Adhoura P.S. Case No. 09 of 2021, for the offence punishable
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation is of snatching of two mobile phones
and Rs. 8,000/- on the point of fire arm from the informant. The
F.I.R. is against unknown.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been
implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has been
made accused in this case mere on suspicion. The Chargesheet



has already been submitted. The petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 16.10.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, without going into the merits of the case considering the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25000/- (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Adhokra P.S. Case No. 09 of 2021, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature



of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

(5) The court below is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically loose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

