

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6614 of 2021

=====

Jitendra Prasad Yadav alias Jitendra Prasad Yadav Son of Sukeshwar Prasad Yadav alias Sukeshwar Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village - Dighwa, Digha Tola, Dighwa Dubauli, P.S. - Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Saran Division, Saran at Chapra.
4. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Gopalganj.
6. The District Supply Officer, Gopalganj.
7. The Block Supply Officer-Cum-Marketing Office, Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.
8. The District Level Selection Committee (Supply) Through its Chairman, District - Gopalganj.
9. Sataya Narayan Sah Son of Triloki Sah, Resident of Village - Dighwa, Dighwa Dubauli, P.S. Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Indrajeet Bhushan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Upendra Pd. Singh, AC to SC-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH)

2 28-01-2022 The matter has been taken up for online hearing through video conference because of COVID-19 pandemic restrictions.

The petitioner has questioned the selection of



respondent No. 9 for grant of licence to run a fair price shop under Bihar Targeted Public Distribution System (Control) Order, 2016.

It is the petitioner's case that he has better merit than respondent No. 9 for the said purpose and his claim has been ignored in preparing the merit-list. The fair price shop in question is located in Dighwa Dubauli North Gram Panchayat in the district of Gopalganj.

The Control Order, 2016 provides grievance redressal mechanism against selection/ non-selection for the purpose of grant of licence which the petitioner did not avail.

Considering the facts and circumstances, this application is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent/ statutory authority by making an application/ representation. If the same is done within four weeks from today, the Court expects the competent statutory authority to consider the petitioner's representation with utmost expedition and decide the same in accordance with law.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

