

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.40 of 2020

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19527 of 2014

=====

Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University through its Registrar,
Col. Ajay Kumar Male aged about 55 years son of Munishwar Rai Resident
of Village- Madhopur P.s. Parhatta, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna (Bihar)
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna (Bihar)
3. The Secretary (Higher Education) Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna (Bihar)
4. The Secretary, Managing Committee, Human Resources Sri Krishna Jublee Law College, Muzaffarpur
5. The Principal Sri Krishna Jublee College, Muzaffarpur
6. Nathuni Pandit son of Late Jhingur Pandit Resident of village- Patahi Hari, P.O.- Patahi, P.s. Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur
7. Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University Muzaffarpur
8. The Vice Chancellor, Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur
9. The Finance Controller, Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arabind Nath Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Prabhat Ranjan Singh (AC to Aag 13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

4 20-07-2022 The review petitioner is the University. Respondents no. 6, 7 and 8 are present before this Court. It is submitted that the University did not get chance to file its reply. The college is not a constituent college but the affiliated college which receives grant from the State Government and not from the

University.

In view thereof, learned counsel submits that the order passed by this Court dated 18.08.2018 deserves to be reviewed.

I have considered the submissions, this Court vide order dated 18.08.2018 as simply disposed of the writ petition with direction to the respondent University as well as to the college to examine the claim of the petitioner.

Keeping in view, the directions issued by this Court which would not bind the University to necessarily release the amount, if it is inadmissible, no review is required to made to the order.

The review petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Sadique/-
Item No. 53

U			
---	--	--	--