

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20975 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2020 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

1. SUKET KUMAR SON OF RAMASANYOG RAY R/O VILLAGE-KODARIA, P.S.- RUNNISAIDPUR, DISTRICT- SITAMARHI.
2. RANJIT KUMAR SON OF YOGENDRA RAI R/O VILLAGE-KODARIA, P.S.- RUNNISAIDPUR, DISTRICT- SITAMARHI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-01-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with
Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 259 of 2020 registered under
Sections 341, 323, 324, 354, 379 and 307/34 of the I.P.C.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is
that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in
the present case. There is general and omnibus allegation
against the petitioners.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State
opposed the prayer of the petitioners by contending that
petitioners are named in the first information report. There is

specific allegation of molestation, snatching and assault in the first informant report against the petitioners. Further he contended that the injury report also corroborates the same. Hence, this is not a fit case for anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioners is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--