

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10122 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2020 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

PINTU KUMAR Son of Moti Sah Resident of Village- Amara Talab, Police  
Station- Sasaram (M), District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      02-11-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Sasaram(T) P.S. Case no. 129 of 2020 instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 465, 471, 473, 474, 476, 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Prosecution case in nutshell is that the petitioner submitted the owner book of truck bearing Reg No. JH02L-2215 for the release of the his truck. In compliance of the order passed by Hon'ble High Court, Patna to release the seized truck after verification. The owner book has been verified and found it forged and vide letter no. 29 dated 20.01.2020 of the D.T.O., Hazaribag has not issued from his department.



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner had no knowledge of the aforesaid forged owner book because the tout prepared said owner book from D.T.O. Office and handed over the petitioner.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail and submitted that after making verification the owner book of the said vehicle which is issued by the concern authorities has been found forged.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the said owner book has been found forged, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and, as such, his application for bail is rejected.

However, if the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail, the same may be considered by the court below on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order of rejection.

**(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)**

amandeep/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

