

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9994 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2021 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Buxar

=====

GOLU MISHRA @ AMRIT KUMAR S/o- Paras nath Mishra R/o- Vill -
Kanjara, P.S. - Koran Sarai, District - Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kr. Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Digvijay Kumar Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrested in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 376 and
120(b) of the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of commission of rape with the
informant/victim by this petitioner inside Hotel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case as the FIR has
been lodged after much delay. He further submits that the victim
was in love affair with another boy and she was in the verge of
fleeing with that boy and the said information was given by the
petitioner to her co-villager and the said co-villager informed



the family members of the girl and in retaliation, this petitioner was implicated in this case.

In contra, learned counsel for the APP submits that the victim has directly taken the name of this petitioner in committing rape and she has also supported the prosecution case in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. and during investigation, the I.O. has also supported the case of the prosecution.

After considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

