

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9161 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-646 Year-2021 Thana- ISLAMPUR District- Nalanda

Chandan Kumar Son Of Shasibhusan Pandit Resident Of Village - Aattasaray,
P.S.- Nursarai, Distt.- Nalanda. At Nursarai.

.. ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv
		Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 03-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a
case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 366(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged against the petitioner that he has
abducted the minor daughter of the informant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that petitioner has falsely been implicated in
this case. It is further submitted that petitioner is the
student of I.T.I and on the alleged date of occurrence,
the petitioner had appeared in the examination of his
course which was 50 K.M away from the place of



occurrence. Moreover, the victim was recovered from the house of the informant itself.

Learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submitted that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C has specifically alleged against the petitioner that he forcefully took her to the house of his sister where they stayed for some days.

Considering the fact that the victim in her 164 Cr.P.C statement has specifically alleged against the petitioner to have forcefully took her to his sister's house, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

