

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19821 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2020 Thana- BARBIGHA District- Sheikhpura

=====

VINOD CHAUDHARI @ BULLU CHAUDHARI @ BINOD SON OF
LATE SRI CHAUDHARI RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- NARAYANPUR, P.S.-
BARBIGHA, DISTRICT- SHEIKHPURA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satya Prakash

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Prasad Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2022 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the

State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in connection
with Barbigha P.S. Case No. 158 of 2020 registered for the
offence punishable under section 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this
case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious
possession of this petitioner. The alleged recovery is said to
have been made a Hut.

Learned Additional P.P. appearing for the State
opposes the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner is

named accused and there is recovery from his house.
Petitioner, therefore, does not deserve to be granted the
privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the nature of allegations levelled,
the materials available on record and the submissions
advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court does not find it
to be a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
The prayer for bail is, accordingly, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--