

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9169 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-178 Year-2021 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

1. Budhan Chaupal, S/O Late Ravi Chaupal R/O Village- Andah, P.S. And P.O.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani
2. Lalita Devi, W/O Budhan Chaupal R/O Village- Andah, P.S. And P.O.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani
3. Madan Chaupal, S/O Moti Chaupal R/O Village- Andah, P.S. And P.O.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani
4. Mohan Chaupal, S/O Moti Chaupal R/O Village- Andah, P.S. And P.O.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 304(B)/ 34 of the I.P.C.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody since 23.11.2021, they are persons with clean antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. The petitioner nos.1 and 2 are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased and petitioner nos.3 and 4 are neighbours of the deceased.



It is next alleged that the allegation in the F.I.R. is that Runiya Devi @ Runa Devi, the sister-in-law of the deceased was having affair with two men i.e. petitioner nos.3 and 4, which was being objected by the deceased on account of which, the present occurrence took place in which the mother-in-law, father-in-law, the neighbour Runiya Devi and Lalita Devi connived and killed her.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it absolutely does not stand to reason that as to why the father-in-law and mother-in-law would have killed the deceased. At the same time, would have allowed their own daughter-in-law to carry on the affair with her neighbour. It is submitted that Runiya Devi has been granted regular bail by order dated 16.06.2022 in Cr. Misc. No.7982 of 2022.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody, they are persons with clean antecedent, charge-sheet has been submitted in this case and co-accused has been granted bail, the petitioners, above-named, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where



the case is pending in connection with Pandaul P. S. Case
No.178 of 2021, C.R.I. No.1668 of 2021.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

