

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8838 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2019 Thana- BIHARIGANJ District- Madhepura

DILIP SHARMA SON OF BECHAN SHARMA RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
RAHATA, P.S.- BIHARIGANJ, DISTRICT- WEST MADHEPURA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

The explanation vide letter No. 12 dated 4.4.2022 is
accepted.

Petitioner has renewed his prayer for regular bail in
connection with Bihariganj P.S. Case No. 243/2019 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code which was rejected earlier on merit by
this Court vide order dated 4.12.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. 26463
of 2020 giving liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for
bail after one year if the trial does not show any substantial
progress.

This Court vide order dated 03.06.2020 had called for a
report from the trial court regarding stage of trial and in



pursuance thereof, the report of learned ADJ-VIII, Madhepura, dated 4.4.2022 is on record and from perusal of the same, it appears that the trial is at the verge of completion and learned trial court has given the estimated time for conclusion of trial within one year.

In view of the fact that the trial is likely to be concluded within a short span of time, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after one year if the trial does not conclude.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

