

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2556 of 2022

Manoranjan Kumar S/o Late Rangulal R/o Quarter No. 140, A.P. Colony,
Gaya, Gaya, Bihar, Pincode - 823001, at present residing at Flat No. 166, B-1
Aravali Apartment, Sector - 34, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 201307.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi - 110001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi - 110001.
3. The Under Secretary, B.A.E. Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi - 110001.
4. The Prasar Bharti, through its Chief Executive Officer, New Delhi - 110001.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Prasar Bharti, New Delhi - 110001.
6. The Akashvani, through its Director General, Akashvani, Akashvani Bhawan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110001.
7. The Director General Akashvani, Akashvani Bhawan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110001.
8. The Doordarshan, through its Director General, Doordarshan, Doordarshan Bhawan, Copernicus Marg, New Delhi - 110001.
9. The Director General, Doordarshan, Doordarshan Bhawan, Copernicus Marg, Mandi House, New Delhi - 110001.
10. The Additional Director General (East Zone), Akashvani and Doordarshan, Akashvani Bhawan, Eden Garden, Kolkatta - 01.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Onkar Nath, Advocate Mr. Panchanand Kumar, Advocate Mr. Ashutosh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Mukesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh, ASG Mr. Naresh Dixit, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs



joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 04-03-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- i. Commanding the respondent authorities particularly the Respondent No.-1 & 2 to look into embezzlement of public money by way of wrong interpretation of Section-11 (B) of the Prasar Bharti (Broadcasting Corporation of India) Act, 1990 (hereinafter referred as P.B. Act),
- ii. Further, commanding the respondent authorities to do/ensure the rectification with regard to embezzlement of money by way of granting the promotions/N.F.U./pension to such employees who are legally not eligible as per the provisions of P.B. Act.
- iii. Grant of any other incidental or consequential relief/reliefs, for which the petitioner can be found entitled for.

We find the present petition to be totally misconceived on the following counts:-

- (a) There is no reference of any embezzlement having taken place, with respect to any employee posted in Bihar;
- (b) The cause of action, if any, has not arisen within



the territorial limits of the State of Bihar.

The present petition is even otherwise misconceived for lack of material particulars. There is no reference as to how a sum of rupees fourteen hundred crores, as is so averred in Paragraph 14 of the petition, stands ‘swindled’ under the pretext of Modified Assured Career Progression (M.A.C.P.) Scheme.

As such, the present petition, devoid of material particulars, only merits dismissal.

Ordered accordingly.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Amrendra/PKP

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	08.03.2022
Transmission Date	

