

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3170 of 2020

=====

Yamuna Kumar, S/o Ram Rekha Tiwari, R/o Sanda, P.S. Sanda, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Director General, Central Industrial Security Force (Ministry of Home Affairs), New Delhi
2. The Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force (Ministry of Home Affairs), Ahemdabad.
3. The Deputy Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force (Ministry of Home Affairs), West Zone, Headquarter- Navi Mumbai.
4. The Group Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force (Ministry of Home Affairs), Ahemdabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Kamleshwar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr.Praveen Kumar Sinha, Sr. Panel Counsel for the Union of India

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 14-09-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that being faced with the objection on the grounds of maintainability of the writ application for want of territorial jurisdiction, cause of action being in another State, he would be availing his remedy before the Court of competent territorial jurisdiction, however, he is likely to be faced with a plea of delay and latches.

Since the entire issue is to be considered only when the Court of competent jurisdiction looks into the matter, writ



application is disposed of with liberty.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shashank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

