

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8557 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2019 Thana- ISHAKCHAK District- Bhagalpur

Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Shankar @ Ravi Shankar Singh, S/O Ramadhar Singh
R/O Mohalla- B.C.E. Hostel, Barari, P.S.- Barari, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Vivek- Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 02-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in
a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 379
and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant,
who is an employee of Bharti Infra Tel Company, alleges that on
07.01.2019 at about 5.30 A.M., he had gone to inspect the
company tower and found that Digi Lock is lying open and 150
Ah. Exside battery was stolen. Thereafter, he inquired from the
landlord and guard, who informed him that on 05.01.2019 at
8.00 P.M. one person came disclosing that he is an employee of
the company and took the Digi Lock battery for recharging. It is



next alleged that as per CCTV footage, the informant took the videograph of the alleged person and sent it to the officers of the company, which was found to be similar to one Ravi Kumar i.e. the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is next submitted that F.I.R. came to be instituted eight days after the occurrence and the present false case has been instituted on account of professional rivalry between the two companies. It is also submitted that the F.I.R. does not with certainty allege that the person seen in the CCTV footage was the petitioner rather it is alleged that he is similar to the petitioner.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the anticipatory bail application.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Ishakchak P. S. Case



No.10 of 2019, subject to the conditions laid down under
Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

