

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.168 of 2018

1. Bhagwat Dubey and Ors Son of Late Suryadeo Dubey, resident of Village- Gonauli, Tola Singha Chapra, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
2. Kiyamat Gaddi, Son of Late Khudaddin Gaddi, Resident of Village- Karanmeya, P.S. Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
3. Subhash Singh, Son of Late Vikarma Singh, resident of Village- Singha Chapar, P.S. Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
4. Kamlesh Kumar, Son of Late Nageshwart Prasad, resident of Village Khasuyar P.S. Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
5. Raj Kumar Verma, Son of Late Gendalal Verma, Resident of village- Khasuyar, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
6. Pramod Kumar Rai, Son of Gheghi Rai, Resident of village- Khasuyar, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
7. Mohan Yadav, Son of Late Tulsi Yadav, Resident of village- Khasuyar, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.
8. Yogendra Sah, Son of Bagar Sah, Resident of village- Khasuyar, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Collector, West Champaran at Bettiah.
3. The Additional Collector, West Champaran at Bettiah.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bettiah District West Champaran.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Chanpati Block District West Champaran.
6. Aklu Manjhi, Son of Late Sohan Manjhi.
7. Domai Son of Sohan Manjhi.
8. Shambhu Manjhi, Son of Chanar Manjhi.
9. Chanar Manjhi. Son of Bhikhari Manjhi
10. Juman Manjhi, Son of Lakhan Manjhi.
11. Shankar Majhi, Son of Lakhan Manjhi.
12. Adalat Majhi, Son of Mohar Manjhi
13. Sudama Manjhi, Son of Late Gopi Manjhi All resident of Village- Khasuyar, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, Post- Lalgah, District- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Brij Kishor Mishra
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Raj Kishore Roy- Gp18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 10-11-2022 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now a final order has been passed by the Circle Officer in the Bandobasti Case No. 25/1996-97 and they want to prefer an appeal against that order before the appropriate authority.

Considering the same, this application is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observation and direction this application is disposed of.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/

U			
---	--	--	--