

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8579 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-160 Year-2012 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

Ranjeet Kumar Safi @ Ranjeet Safi Son Of Umesh Safi R/O Village-
Basopatti, P.S.- Basopatti, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in
a case registered for the offences punishable under Section
366(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the allegation of
kidnapping stands falsified in view of the fact that the victim
has not supported the prosecution case and the informant has
also entered into a compromise as petitioner and the victim had
already performed their marriage and out of the wedlock, they
have children also.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the
anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt, it is a



good case for considering the anticipatory bail, but then the conduct of the petitioner does not warrant interference as the case was instituted in the Year 2012 and the present anticipatory bail application has been filed in the Year 2022 i.e. 10 years after the occurrence.

Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

However, if the petitioner surrenders in the learned Court below, the learned Court below shall dispose of the case without being prejudiced by this order keeping in mind that no doubt, time has elapsed, but then the parties have compromised and the petitioner and the victim have performed their marriage and out of the wedlock, they have children also.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

