

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8030 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-266 Year-2021 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

SUNIL YADAV @ SUNIL KUMAR YADAV S/O YOGENDRA YADAV
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- DHARHARA, P.S.- RAGHOPUR, DISTRICT-
SUPAUL

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act.

Recovery is of 135 liters of foreign liquor.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been
implicated in this case on the basis of suspicion. He further
submits that the petitioner has been arrested on the basis of
disclosure made by the villagers. In fact, nothing has been
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner
and he has no concern with the alleged recovery. He further



submits that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet in this case against the petitioner. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 17.12.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Sessions Trial Excise Case No. 1055 of 2021 arising out of Rahopur P.S. Case No. 266 of 2021 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to



move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

