

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18129 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2019 Thana- TARIYANI CHOWK District- Sheohar

ARJUN RAY @ ARJUN KUMAR SON OF OPEE RAY R/O VILLAGE-
MAHDEVA, P.S.- TARIYANI, DISTRICT- SHEOHAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hari Kishore Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2022 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in connection with Tariyani P.S. Case No. 181/2019 instituted for the offences under Section 120(B), 323, 364, 406, 420 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

The son of the informant is traceless. The petitioner has been suspected to have his hand in his disappearance. The informant had stated before the police that his son had disclosed before him that he had given his money to the petitioner to be kept in safe custody. However, later, the son of the informant went traceless. This accusation has been levelled by the informant against the petitioner on spotting a mobile telephone belonging to his son, in the hands of the petitioner.



On the basis of the afore-noted facts, it has been alleged that the petitioner may have had his hand in causing the disappearance of the son of the informant.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it was at the instance of the petitioner that the son of the informant got a job in Haryana and both of them were working at Haryana for earning their livelihood. However, they always stayed separately.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the son of the informant had proceeded for his residence but he never reached his home. The accusation against the petitioner is based on suspicion, which has got no foundation at all.

Nothing concrete has been collected during the course of investigation, which would appear from the perusal of the case diary.

Considering the afore-mentioned submission and finding nothing otherwise in the investigation papers, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.03.2022, is hereby confirmed.

The petitioner shall remain on the same bail bonds.

The petition stands allowed.



However, the petitioner shall participate in the investigation and shall furnish all information about the victim as and when asked from him. Not doing so would render his bail liable to be cancelled.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

sunilkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

