

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17962 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-928 Year-2020 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

1. RAGHUNASH RAI S/o- Panchi Rai Resident of Village- Marhowrah, P.S.- Marhowrah, District- Saran.
2. S.P. Yadav @ S.P. Kumar Son of Raghuvansh Rai Resident of Village- Marhowrah, P.S.- Marhowrah, District- Saran.
3. Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Son of Raghuvansh Rai Resident of Village- Marhowrah, P.S.- Marhowrah, District- Saran.
4. Billu Kumar @ Suddu Son of Raghuvansh Rai Resident of Village- Marhowrah, P.S.- Marhowrah, District- Saran.
5. Suddu Kumar @ Suddu Son of Raghuvansh Rai Resident of Village- Marhowrah, P.S.- Marhowrah, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Basant Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      06-01-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection  
with Marhowrah P.S. Case No. 928 of 2020 registered under  
Sections 447, 341, 323, 387, 379, 427 and 506/34 of the Indian  
Penal Code.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is  
that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in  
the present case. There is general and omnibus allegation

against them.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer of the petitioners by contending that during the course of investigation, the informant and other witnesses have stated about the involvement of the accused petitioners in the alleged occurrence. There is allegation against the petitioners that they entered into the informant's shop and took out Rs. 40,000/- from his *Galla* and demanded Rs. 1,00,000/- as *Rangdari*. Hence, the petitioners do not deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Having considered the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioners is rejected.

**(Arvind Srivastava, J)**

utkarsh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|