

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6423 of 2018

=====

Sadan Kahar son of Ramlal Kahar, resident of Village- Gorasra, P.S.- Nuaon,
District- Kaimur, Bhabua, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Department of Primary and Adult Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Program Officer, Establishment, Education Department, Kaimur.
4. The Block Education Officer, P.S. and Block- Nouan, District- Kaimur.
5. The Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat Raj Avnti, P.S.- Nuaon, District- Kaimur.
6. The Learned Presiding Officer of District Appellate Authority, Kaimur.
7. Sima Kumari, daughter of Umesh Singh, resident of Village- Samrdiha, P.S.- Kargahar, District- Rohtas.
8. Lilawati, daughter of Ram Swarath Singh, wife of Rampravesh Singh, resident of Village- Annantpur, P.S.- Mohaniya, District- Kaimur.
9. Kumar Rekha Singh Maurya, daughter of Ramagya Singh, resident of Village- Hariharpur, P.S. District- Bhabua.
10. Nita Kumari, daughter of Jhanjhat Ram, resident of Village- Usari, P.S.- Mohaniya, District- Kaimur.
11. Rinku Kumari, daughter of Krishna Singh, resident of Village- Thiloi, P.S.- Karmachat, District- Kaimur.
12. Sunil Kumar Singh, son of Ramdular Singh, resident of Village- Rupibandh, P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas.
13. Sudhir Kumar Singh, son of Nathuni Singh, resident of Village- Khanethi, P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Parwej Khan, Adv. With Mr. Yugal Kishore, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.S.C. Mishra- Sc16 with Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, AC to SC-16

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 16-08-2022

1. Heard the parties.

2. Admittedly, the District Teachers Appointment

Appellate Authority passed an order dated 01.09.2015 and no appeal



was preferred against the said order before the State Appellate Authority.

3. The writ petition has been filed now by one of the members of the Selection Committee stating that he was not called for and the selection was conducted behind his back. He has not placed on record any documents to show whether he was member of the Selection Committee.

4. That apart the order passed by the District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority dated 01.09.2015 has not been challenged in any superior Court or before the State Appellate Authority. Hence, the present writ petition would not lie directly to this Court.

5. Directions prayed by learned counsel to allow the petitioner to now file an appeal before the State Appellate Authority is also not made out as the locus of the petitioner to challenge the order of the District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority is not made out.

6. Keeping in view thereto, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-
Item No. 42

U			
---	--	--	--

