

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.312 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Anand Kumar S/o Late Yogendra Yadav, resident of Village- Pothahi, P.O.-
Basuhar, P.S. Punpun, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Its Home Secretary, Department Of Home,
Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
5. The Deputy S.P. Patna Sadar, Patna.
6. The S.H.O. Punpun, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar, AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Deepak Kumar, learned AC to GP-4 for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one of
his grievances with regard to lodging of the F.I.R. has been
redressed but it is his submission that on his representation
contained in Annexure '2' none of the authorities have given
any consideration.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in fact for
the alleged occurrence in which the petitioner and the villager
had blocked the road N.H. 30 near Pothahi railway station after
keeping the dead body of the father of the petitioner, one F.I.R.



was lodged by police in which the petitioner has been made accused. It is for this reason that the petitioner has lodged the complaint against the A.S.I. namely Rajesh Kumar.

Be that as it may, this Court is of the considered opinion that the version of the petitioner as contained in Annexure '2' to the writ application was required to be considered even for prima facie opinion by the concerned authorities.

In these circumstances, this Court directs the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in Annexure '2', examine the same and take an appropriate view of the matter based on the materials available. For this purpose, if required, the petitioner may also be given an opportunity. Such decision be taken within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

This application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

tusharika/-

U			
---	--	--	--

