

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5434 of 2021

=====

Gulshan Kumar, Male, Aged about 22 years, Son of Late Devanand Ray, At present Resident of Chhoti Marai, Ambedkar Nagar, Police Station-Town Hajipur, District-Vaishali, Permanent Address-Village and Post-Kebta, Police Station-Dalasing Sarai, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Managing Director, Department of Electricity, Patna, Bihar.
2. Electrical Superintending Engineer Transmission Zone Office, Muzaffarpur, District-Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
3. The Electrical Executive Engineer Transmission Division, Samastipur, District-Samastipur, Bihar.
4. Pramila Devi, Wife of Late Devanand Ray, Resident of Village and Post-Kebta, Police Station-Dalasing Sarai, District-Samastipur
5. Pintu Kumar, Son of Late Devanand Ray, Resident of Village and Post-Kebta, Police Station-Dalasing Sarai, District-Samastipur
6. Anjan Kumar, Wife of Late Devanand Ray, Resident of Village and Post-Kebta, Police Station-Dalasing Sarai, District-Samastipur
7. Ranjan Kumari, Wife of Late Devanand Ray, Resident of Village and Post-Kebta, Police Station-Dalasing Sarai, District-Samastipur
8. The Managing Director, Bihar State Transmission Company Ltd., Vidhut Bhawan, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Adv. Mr. Hemant Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent	:	Mr. Hemant Kumar, Adv.
For the N.B.P.D.C.L.	:	Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Adv. Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

11 14-12-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to implead the General Manager (HR) as Respondent No. 9.

It is submitted by the petitioner's counsel that from Annexure-6 of the rejoinder, which is a list of family of the



deceased (petitioner's father), it is apparent that his name finds mention therein. The fact that he is an off-spring of the second wife, therefore, cannot come in the way of the petitioner for receiving the benefits on account of demise of his father. For the said claim, he would be approaching the newly added respondent.

In view of the said submission, Mr. Singh, learned senior counsel for the respondent have submitted that whatever claim the petitioner may raise, relief would be limited to the benefits available under the extant circulars/rules in the Respondent-Company.

In view thereof, the writ petition is disposed of without expressing any opinion on the merits of the submission advanced by the rival parties to enable the petitioner to approach the newly added respondent.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Raj kishore/-

U			
---	--	--	--

