

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6270 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2021 Thana- CHAKAI District- Jamui

=====

Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Verma Son of Late Shiv Narayan Mahto Resident of
Village- Sone, P.S.- Chakai, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 26-09-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chakai
P.S. Case No. 126 of 2021 registered for the offence under
Sections 304(B) and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code

The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 23.07.2021.

The allegation against the petitioner is to cause death
of the daughter of the informant, along with other co-accused
persons/family members due to non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry of cash of Rs. 4,50,000/- and one motor-cycle, within one
year of marriage.



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that implication of petitioner is completely based upon false fact. It is submitted that post-mortem report of deceased is not showing any external/internal injuries, which may suggest that death was unnatural. It is submitted that the wife of petitioner died naturally due to Heart failure. It is submitted that best effort was made by petitioner but despite of same, his wife died on the way of hospital. While concluding the argument, it has been submitted that allegation, as regard to demand of dowry is very much general and omnibus, where petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and moreover, investigation has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant, Mr. Akash Raj, while opposing the prayer of bail, pointed para-2 of the case diary, dealing with inquest of the deceased, showing several external injuries. It is also submitted that informant mentioned about those external injuries in F.I.R. itself suggesting, *prima facie*, that the death was not in natural manner. It is further submitted that several independent witnesses, during the course of



investigation, suggest that soon before the occurrence, deceased subjected to physical assault, where allegation is specifically raised against this petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances as mentioned above, as independent witnesses, during the course of investigation, suggest that daughter of informant was subjected to physical assault by this petitioner, where dead body was found inside the house of petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail, for the present.

Accordingly, prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

Learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial, positively, within six months of receipt of this order, if required, by taking it on board, on daily basis.

Superintendent of Police, Jamui is directed to produced the charge-sheeted witnesses, as and when directed by the Trial Court/Special Court, for expeditious disposal of trial, within aforesaid time period, as directed above.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

pooja/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

