

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6632 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-455 Year-2021 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

Arvind Chaudhary S/O Late Baidhya Nath Chaudhary R/O Village- Jadhua,
P.S.- Hajipur Town, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No.II, Advocate
For the informant/s	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Bisheshwar Ram, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-07-2022

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P for the State.

Let the defect (s), as pointed out by the office, be
removed within a period of four weeks form today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201,
34 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case, in short, is that informant has
alleged that there was a love-affair between his son namely,
Roushan Kumar (deceased) and Vibha Kumari who is the
daughter of the co-accused Ramswarth Chaudhary. It is further
alleged that the petitioner and other co-accused had threatened



to kill her son. It is stated that at the wedding ceremony of the daughter of accused Ramswarth Chaudhary, work of installation of pandal was going on and the deceased was engaged in pandal installation. Further, it is alleged that owing to previous enmity, under a conspiracy, all the named accused persons including the petitioner killed the informant's son by tying rope in his neck and hanged the dead body in Guava tree near the house of the informant.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is no such occurrence has taken place at the place of occurrence as alleged in F.I.R rather the son of the informant has committed suicide himself reasons best known to him or his family members. Further, it is submitted that the name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner is in custody since 26.06.2021, is a person with clean antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

Learned A.P.P for the State and Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, the



above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 1st (West), Muzaffarpur in connection with Kudhani (Fakuli O.P) P.S Case No. 455 of 2021.

(Khatim Reza, J)

shanu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

