

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1877 of 2022

1. Shashi Kant Mishra @ Shashi Kant Misra, aged about 52 years, Male Son of Vidya Sagar Mishra @ Vighasagar Misra, Resident of Village - Bhringichak, P.O. - Semariya, Police Station - Kateya, District - Gopalganj.
2. Rinku Kumari, aged about 31 years, Female D/o Hari Shankar Dubey, Resident of Village and P.O. - Sasamusa, Police Station- Kuchaikot, District - Gopalganj.
3. Kumari Manju, aged about 46 years, Female Wife of Parmeshwar Sah, Resident of Village and P.O. - Sunderpur Ke Tola, Police Station - Barhariya, District - Siwan.
4. Shobha Devi, aged about 33 years, Female Wife of Devendra Manjhi, Resident of Village and P.O. - Sasamusa, Police Station - Kuchaikot, District - Gopalganj.
5. Pinki, aged about 55 years, Female D/o Pashupati Shukla, Resident of Village and P.O. - Narahawan Shukla, Police Station - Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
4. The District Education Superintendent, Gopalganj.
5. The Block Education Officer, Kuchaikot.
6. The Chairman, Panchayat Niyojan Samiti Sasamusa Panchayat, Sasamusa.
7. The Mukhiya, Panchayat Raj, Sasamusa.
8. The Secretary Panchayat Raj, Sasamusa.
9. The Presiding Officer, District Teachers Appellate Authority, Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kameshwar Kumar, GP 17

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 10-03-2022

Heard the parties.



The case is being taken up from defect side.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to submit the original petition along with attested affidavits and also remove all the defects pointed out by the Registry within two weeks from today.

It is informed that the petitioners have already approached the District Appellate Authority for redressal of their grievance which has not been decided in Appeal Case No. 106 of 2015. It is directed the District Appellate Authority shall decide the same within a period of three months henceforth.

If for any occasion, the District Appellate Authority is not functioning, the petitioners shall be free to make up his grievance before the State Appellate Authority, who shall decide the same within three months.

The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

