

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5456 of 2021

=====

Julekha Khatun Wife of Naushad Ali Resident of Village- Bishunpur, P.O.-
Babu Bishunpur, P.S.- Jadopur, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
2. The District Programme Officer (Welfare) Gopalganj.
3. The C.D.P.O., Sadar Block, Gopalganj, P.S. and District- Gopalganj.
4. Sona Kumari Wife of Dilip Sharma Resident of Village- Bishunpur, P.O.-
Babu Bishunpur, P.S.- Jadopur, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Lalit Kishore (Ag)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 03-02-2022

The matter is heard via video conferencing due to
circumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. State counsel accepts notice for respondent Nos. 1 to
3.

3. Service of notice to 4th Respondent is dispensed since
no adverse order is passed against her.

4. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the
following relief/reliefs:

“That, the present writ petition is for issuance
of an appropriate writ/ writs, order / orders, direction /
directions to respondents especially District
Programme Officer (Welfare), Gopalganj for
consideration of her claim and final decision on
complain against selection of private respondent as



Aanganbari Centre No. 05 under Gram Panchayat Raj, Vishunpur West in meeting commenced on 04.03.2020 within the district of Gopalganj taking into consideration that she possesses more merit and better position in Merit List prepared/ maintained by respondent themselves but even after ignoring the merit position Private Respondent was selected/ appointed, which attracts the right conferred under Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution, statutory provision and law settled by Temple of Justices time without number dealing the same issue and for the other necessary relief/relief's on the basis of the facts and circumstances of the case as stated hereinafter.”

5. The petitioner, feeling aggrieved in selection and appointment of the 4th respondent – Sona Kumari, preferred petition/appeal before the Appellate Authority *vide* Application dated 03.06.2020 and thereafter two more representations were also stated to have been submitted. Therefore, the Appellate Authority is hereby directed to decide petitioner's petition after giving ample opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and 4th – respondent Sona Kumari within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.

6. Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed off.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

