

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6985 of 2021

=====

Surendra Kumar Chaudhari, S/o Late Karu Chaudhari, Resident of village -
Bijwan Lengura, P.S. - Rajauli, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The SDO, Rajauli, Nawada.
3. The Block Supply Officer, Rajauli, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N. K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Vijay Anand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Ujjwal, SC-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

=====

2 06-01-2022

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-



That the writ application is being filed on behalf of the petitioner for Quashing the order dated 24.08.2017 fully contained in Memo No. 1918 issued under the signature of Sub-Divisional Officer, Rajauli Nawada by which the PDS license of the petitioner being License no. 228/2007 granted under of the Provision of P.D.S. Control Order for carrying on business under the Public Distribution system has been cancelled without providing any chance of defend his case.

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner may be permitted to prefer revision against the impugned order before the appropriate Authority, in accordance with law.

Prayer allowed.

He may do so within a period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the State states that if any such revision is preferred by the petitioner within the aforesaid period, limitation shall not come in the way and the revision shall be heard on merits, to be decided within a period of three



months from the date of its filing.

We only hope and expect the appropriate authority to consider and decide the revision on its own merit and with reasonable dispatch.

We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on facts and law. All issues are left open.

Learned counsel for the parties undertake not to take any unnecessary adjournment in such proceedings.

The present petition stands disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application, if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

Ashwini/Sujit

U			
---	--	--	--

(S. Kumar, J)

