

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19496 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2020 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

-
1. AKHILESH SAH Son of Dharaj Sah, Resident of Village - Jogani Parsa, P.S.- Bheldhi, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.
 2. Lakhendra Sah Son of Dharaj Sah, Resident of Village - Jogani Parsa, P.S.- Bheldhi, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.
 3. Bhograj Sah Son of Jatan Sah, Resident of Village - Jogani Parsa, P.S.- Bheldhi, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 06-04-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove all the defects pointed out by the office within one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No. 118 of 2020 registered for offence punishable under sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against these three petitioners is that when Kumlal Sah, the husband of the informant was going to market,



the petitioners surrounded him and snatched Rs.50,000/-. The petitioners also badly assaulted him with iron rod. Thereafter, they entered into the house of the informant and snatched away ornaments from the person of her daughter-in-law.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is case and counter case and the present case is counter blast of Bheldi P.S. Case No. 128 of 2020.

In this case, the injury report of Kumlal Sah was called for, which shows that he received three injuries and he was referred to P.M.C.H., Patna. The injuries were opined as grievous in nature.

There is a specific allegation against the petitioners that they badly assaulted the husband of the informant and he was treated in P.M.C.H. and received grievous injuries. As such, it is not a fit case for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

