

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5302 of 2021

=====

Sanjeev Kumar Pandey S/o Late Jagdish Chandra Pandey, resident of Village and P.O. - Deep, P.S. - Lakhtaur, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chairman Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Kalambagh Chowk Muzaffarpur.
2. The General Manager Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Kalamabagh Chowk Muzaffarpur.
3. The Regional Manager, Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Laganpara Chowk, Jhanjharpur, District - Madhubani.
4. The Branch Manager Village and P.O.- Lakhtaur, Deep, Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Jhanjharpur District - Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Amarendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 18-01-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“For direction to the respondent Regional Manager, Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Jhanjharpur to execute an agreement with the petitioner for taking his premises/building on lease rent basis for the purpose of shifting existing branch to newly constructed building of the petitioner at village Deep in Madhubani district.



For holding that the petitioner fulfills all the requisite criteria as laid down in the advertisement for the purpose of shifting the existing branch to the newly constructed building on lease rent basis.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, finding the court not to be in favour of the submissions made across the bar, seeks liberty to (a) approach the respondents venting out his grievances and (b) take recourse to such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in



accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim and leaving all issues on facts and law open, the petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

We may not be misunderstood to have extended the period of limitation or expressed any view on merits.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	19.01.2022
Transmission Date	

