

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No 4754 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 3685 of 2015

=====

Shailendra Kumar Son of Lala Prasad Singh, Resident of Village ad P.S.-
Rupaspur, Danapur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through Sri Lalit Chandra Trivedi, The General Manager, East Central Railway, Ministry of Railway, Vaishali at Hajipur
2. The State of Bihar through Sri Brajesh Mehrotra, The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Kumar Ravi, Presently posted as the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Patna.
4. Sri Dharam Prakash Prajenfli Posted as be Diptichi franjinour Construction Ganga Bridge E.C.R. Digha Ghat, Patna.
5. Sri A.K. Dube Presently Posted as the Chief Enginiour Construction Ganga Bridge E.C.R. Digha Ghat, Patna.
6. The State of Bihar through Sri Brijesh Mehratra Prajetli Posted as Commercial Revenue and Land Reforms Deptt. Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. Sri Birendra Kumar Mishra, Presently Posted as the District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	N O N E
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Arun Kumar Bhagat, AC to AAG XII
For the Railway	:	Mr Abhay Shankar Jha, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 24-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the State and the Railway.

None appears for the petitioner.

Having regard to the petitioner's claim regarding employment on account of land acquisition being made by a representation, the writ petition was disposed of requiring the authorities to consider the petitioner's claim on its own merits in



accordance with law. The order dated 06.08.2018 passed in CWJC No 3685 of 2015 has allowed the petitioner liberty to avail the appropriate remedy in case he is aggrieved by the decision based on the representation. A reasoned and speaking order has since been passed and placed on record in the show cause filed on behalf of Opposite Party No 1.

In view of the substantial compliance, no further order is required to be passed.

This application is disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

