

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9232 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2016 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

MAJAHID ANSARI S/o Abdul Rashid R/o village- Bithwan Resoolpur, P.S.-
Dawth, District- Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Ambari Khatoon, Wife of Mujahid Ansari, D/o Sheikh Ansari, Village
Tendua, P.S. Sabar, District Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Anbzarul Haque Sahara

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 07-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties through virtual
court proceedings.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Complaint Case
No.27 of 2016, registered for the offences punishable under
Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the
Dowry Prohibition Act.

The petitioner, who is husband of the informant, is said
to have ousted the informant from her matrimonial home in
association of his family members over the dowry demand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has
neither made any dowry demand nor drove the informant out of
her matrimonial home nor tormented her over the demand of
dowry. He is still ready to keep his wife with full honour and



dignity. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court passed in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006 (3) PLJR 182*.

Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, let petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Complaint Case No.27 of 2016, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the learned court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

