

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4805 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2020 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

1. NIWAS PASWAN @ SRI NIWAS PASWAN Son of Lallan Paswan Resident of Village - Tankuppi, P.S.- Haspura, Distt.- Aurangabad.
2. LALLAN PASWAN Son of Late Pheku Paswan Resident of Village - Tankuppi, P.S.- Haspura, Distt.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Saket Kumar Singh, Adv Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. J.N. Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within one month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned APP for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 23 of 2020, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304 (B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation, the marriage of the daughter of the informant was solemnized with co-accused Indal Paswan in the year 2019. The husband of the deceased and his family members subjected her to cruelty and they demanded a T.V. set from her. On 01.02.2020 at about 7.00 a.m. the informant got an information that



the matrimonial inmates of her daughter had committed her murder. The informant rushed to her matrimonial house where he did not find the family members of the deceased. The persons of the vicinity informed him that his daughter was murdered in the previous night and the accused persons had concealed the dead body.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner No. 1 is brother-in-law and petitioner No. 2 is father-in-law of the deceased. They live separately from the house of the deceased and they have no concern with the day to day affairs of the couple.

The witnesses in case diary have supported the occurrence and they have named the petitioners. The investigation is still continuing. As such, I do not think it a fit case for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioners within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

SONALI/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

