

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4148 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-625 Year-2021 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Saurabh Kumar Sinha Son Of Vijay Kumar Sinha R/O Mohalla-
Kayasthatola, P.O. And P.S.- Saharsa, District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 05-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahua
P.S. Case No. 625 of 2021, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 420, 467 and 468 of the Indian
Penal Code.

As per allegation, the informant claims that the
sole accused-petitioner came into employment of the
company with forged documents.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. He further submits that the whole claim of the



informant is baseless. He also submits that charge is not framed so far. However, it is clarified that in case the petitioner fails to attend on fix date for framing of charge, his bail bonds will be cancelled by the court below.

The petitioner has been languishing in jail since 12.10.2021.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that the petitioner has not moved earlier before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

It has further been stated in paragraph no. 3 that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

However, the learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. CJM, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 625 of 2021 on the following conditions:



(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of



the petitioner.

The application stands allowed accordingly.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

uttam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

