

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3678 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2021 Thana- GANGABRIDGE District- Vaishali

MANJIT KUMAR Son of Late Sambhu Rai Resident of Village - Diwan Tok,
P.S.- Ganga Bridge, Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Rina Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sharda Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 20-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State in virtual court proceeding.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a)/30(c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

Recovery is of total 40 litres of illicit liquor as well as apparatus in preparation of wine.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and he has been falsely implicated in the present case only on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. nothing has been



recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather recovery has been made from the banana orchard of Mahesh Rai and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 19.09.2021.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 189 of 2021, with the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

