

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1090 of 2022**

Prity Kumari, Wife of Late Sanjay Kumar, resident of Mohalla Ambedkar Nagar, Near Old Police Station Jehanabad, ward No. 25, P.O., P.S. and District-Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Power and Energy Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar Electricity Board through the Secretary, Vidhyut Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Bihar State Power Transmission Company Ltd., through its Managing Director, Vidhyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd., Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                          |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Paras Nath, Advocate  |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Kinkar Kumar (S.C. 9) |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**  
**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date : 18-10-2022**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief:-

(i) For issuance of an appropriate in the nature of mandamus and certiorari, commanding the respondents to pay compensation of Rs.43 lakh to the petitioner who is wife of late Sanjay Kumar, died due to electric shock besides his house on account of negligent act of the respondents who did not maintain live electric wire in course of supplying electricity, may be issued.

(ii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions commanding the respondent to pay compensation as stated above to the petitioner who lost her earning husband due to electric shock when her husband came in touch with live handing electric wire while he was going out of his for attending

the work, may also be issued.

(iii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions commanding the respondents as the Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper, may be also be issued.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the minimum compensation, as envisaged in the policy framed by the State, stands remitted into the petitioner’s account.

In so far as the remaining claim is concerned, petitioner shall take recourse to such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

The instant petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( S. Kumar, J)**

veena/rajiv-

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| AFR/NAFR          |  |
| CAV DATE          |  |
| Uploading Date    |  |
| Transmission Date |  |