

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3388 of 2020

=====

Smt. Pratima Devi W/o Jitendra Kumar, resident of mohalla- Jagdeo Nagar,
Baulia Road, P.S. Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank through its Chairman, Sector- 10, Dwarka, New Delhi.
2. The Circle Head, Punjab National Bank, Circle Office, Anand Bhawan, Rai Kashi Nath more, Swarijpuri Road, Gaya.
3. The Manager, Punjab National Bank, Sasaram Branch, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
4. The Authorized Officer, Punjab National Bank, Asset Recovery Cell, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
5. Ramji Verma, S/o Late Bhava Nath Singh, resident of Mohalla Jagdeo Nagar, Baulia Road, P.S. Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
6. M/s RV. Enterprises, Proprietor Roshan Verma, resident of Village Tekari, P.S. Chenari, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, Advocate
Mr. Vibhuti Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 11-10-2022 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That this writ petition is being filed on behalf of the petitioner for a direction to the respondent nos.1 to 4 not to auction sale 0.02 Dec. of land bearing M.S. Plot no.2248, C.S. Khata no.10, C.S. Plot no.166, R.S. Khata no.2,R.S.Plot no.14, Thana no.125,Ward no.6A /35 of Mauza Kasba P.S.Sasaram, District-Rohtas as



the petitioner has purchased the same vide registered sale deed no.328 dated 10.1.2014 from Ramji Verma- Respondent no.5 and has not mortgaged the same to Respondents whereas the Respondent no.5 after selling the said land in the year 2014, mortgaged the same to the Respondents in the year 2015 i.e.on 19.9.2015.

On 27.09.2022, we had passed following order:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents states that the present petition is not maintainable, in view of the provisions of Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

None has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner. However, in the interest of justice, matter is adjourned.

List on 11.10.2022 in the category of ‘order matters’.”

Even today, despite repeated calls, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.



As such, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Amrendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

