

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13296 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2019 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

1. SUJIT YADAV @ SUJIT KUMAR YADAV Son of Vijay Yadav Resident of Village - Sathi, Police Station - Domchach in the district of Koderma (Jharkhand).
2. Raj Kumar Yadav @ Raju Kumar Yadav Son of Vijay Yadav Resident of Village - Sathi, Police Station - Domchach in the district of Koderma (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv. Mr. Sunil Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Umesh Lal Veerma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with
Rajauli P.S. Case No. 30 of 2019 registered under Sections 341,
323, 338, 353, 385, 379, 420 and 506/34 of the I.P.C.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is
that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in
the present case. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.
Petitioners have no concern with the alleged occurrence.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State
vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioners by contending



that in course of investigation, the materials have come against the petitioners showing their complicity in the alleged occurrence. Hence, the petitioners do not deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation as well as the submissions advanced on behalf of the State, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioners is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

