

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2937 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-451 Year-2021 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

=====

Pintu Rai @ Pintu Kumar Son Of Dev Kumar Ray R/O Village- Sabalpur
Pachhiyari Tola, P.S.- Sonapur, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Veena Rani Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2022 Due to the third wave of COVID-19 Pandemic, the

matter is being taken up by way of Virtual Court proceeding.

The matter has been listed under the heading ‘For
Orders’ under the orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual mode.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within a
period of four weeks after restoration of normalcy.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Sonapur P.S. case No.451 of 2021 registered under
Sections 467, 468, 471, 420 of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections 30(a)/38/41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act,
2016.



The prosecution case, in short, is that total 2787.765 liters wine is recovered from two cars, one scooty and a truck.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of disclosure made by the local Choukidar. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. It is alleged that total 2787.765 liters wine is recovered from two cars, one scooty and a truck. The petitioner is not the owner of any of the vehicles in question. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr.P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case/F.I.R.

It is necessary to indicate here that this Court while considering the prayer made in the present anticipatory bail application has taken note of the exponential rise in number of covid cases due to outbreak of third wave of Covid-19 pandemic. Further this Court can also not lose sight of the fact



that the condition of jails is not conducive and suitable to the present situation which has arisen due to the sudden rise in covid cases.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bond to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Excise, Saran in connection with Sonapur P.S. case No.451 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Narendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

