

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.197 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2021 Thana- SIKRAUL District- Buxar

=====

Mukesh Yadav Son Of Munni Yadav Resident Of Village - Kadesari, P.S.-
Sikraul, Distt.- Buxar.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dhanji Paswan Son of Late Rajgrihi Paswan Resident of Village-
Kadesari,P.S-Sikraul,District-Buxar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Ravi Shankar Pathak
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sadanand Paswan
		Mr. Anil Kumar Roy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the appellant,

informant and learned APP for the State.

The appellant has challenged the order dated

30.11.2021 passed by learned Additional District Judge,

1st, Buxar in connection with Sikraul P.S. Case No. 20 of

2021 instituted for the offences punishable under

Sections 366, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and

Section 3(1)(s) of the SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities)

Act, whereby his prayer for being released on

anticipatory bail has been rejected.



It is alleged against the appellant that he along with his other associates have abducted the minor daughter of the informant and when the informant went to raise protest in his house, she was abused by calling caste name and life threatening was also given.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that appellant has falsely been implicated in this case. Moreover, for the alleged occurrence of 16.02.2021, the F.I.R was registered on 19.02.2021.

Perused the case diary as well as F.I.R and the impugned order.

Learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and submitted that the witnesses have supported the prosecution case in the case diary. There is specific accusation against the appellant and the victim has still not been traced out.

Considering the fact that there is specific accusation against the appellant and the victim has still



not been traced out, this Court is not inclined to grant
privilege of anticipatory bail to the appellant.

The prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant
stands rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

