

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL REVISION No.16 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-637 Year-2017 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

XXX S/O- Saroj Singh Resident of Village - Basantpur, P.S. - Bajpatti,
District - Sitamarhi under Guardianship of Saroj Singh, S/O- Mahendra
Singh, resident of Village - Basantpur, P.S. - Bajpatti, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

7 16-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Akhileshwar Dayal, learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is seeking setting aside of the order dated 23.10.2019 passed by learned Children Court – cum- 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Sitamarhi in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 637/2017 registered for the offences under Section 302, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act. Petitioner is in custody since 21.08.2017 having five criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph ‘3’ of the application.

As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that on 14.08.2017 at about 7:45 A.M., one unknown person came at his house and called his wife and when she came out from her kitchen room he fired upon her neck as a result of



which she died. The informant further alleged that he tried to catch the miscreants but he fled away.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating article has been recovered from his possession, however he is in custody since 21.08.2017.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the materials showing that this petitioner is involved in as many as six cases which are of serious and heinous nature and the social investigation report reveals that the petitioner is not a disciplined boy and even his father is not happy with his conduct, this court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment.

This revision application is, thus, dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

